

CORDES CHARACTERIZATION FOR
PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH SYMBOLS
VALUED IN A NONCOMMUTATIVE C*-ALGEBRA

SEVERINO T. MELO AND MARCELA I. MERKLEN

Presented by George Elliott, FRSC

ABSTRACT. Given a separable unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} with norm $\|\cdot\|$, let E denote the Banach-space completion of the \mathcal{A} -valued Schwartz space on \mathbb{R}^n with norm $\|f\|_2 = \|\langle f, f \rangle\|^{1/2}$, $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)^* g(x) dx$. The assignment of the pseudodifferential operator $B = b(x, D)$ with \mathcal{A} -valued symbol $b(x, \xi)$ to each smooth function with bounded derivatives $b \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ defines an injective mapping O from $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ to the set \mathcal{H} of all operators with smooth orbit under the canonical action of the Heisenberg group on the algebra of all adjointable operators on the Hilbert C*-module E . It is known that O is surjective if \mathcal{A} is commutative. In this paper, we show that if O is surjective for \mathcal{A} , then it is also surjective for $M_k(\mathcal{A})$.

RÉSUMÉ. Étant donné une C*-algèbre A , séparable et avec unité, soit E l'espace de Banach obtenu par complétation de l'espace de Schwartz sur \mathbb{R}^n avec valeurs dans A par la norme induite par le produit interne à valeurs dans A : $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)^* g(x) dx$. L'association de l'opérateur pseudo-différentiel $B = b(x, D)$, ayant symbol $b(x, \xi)$ à valeurs dans A , à chaque fonction smooth b , à dérivées bornées, définit une application injective O de l'ensemble de tous ces symboles dans l'ensemble de tous les opérateurs ayant orbite lisse par l'action du groupe de Heisenberg sur l'algèbre de tous les opérateurs adjointables sur le C*-module de Hilbert E . Il est bien connu que, si A est commutatif, alors O est surjective. Dans cet article nous montrons que, si O est surjective pour une algèbre quelconque A , alors elle est surjective aussi pour l'algèbre des matrices k par k à coefficients dans A .

1. Introduction Let \mathcal{A} be a separable C*-algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and unit $\mathbf{1}$, and let $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of all \mathcal{A} -valued smooth (Schwartz) functions on \mathbb{R}^n which, together with all their derivatives, are bounded by arbitrary negative powers of $|x|$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We equip it with the \mathcal{A} -valued inner-product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)^* g(x) dx,$$

Received by the editors on January 23, 2009.

S. Melo was partially supported by the Brazilian agency CNPq (Processo 306214/2003-2), and M. Merklen had a postdoctoral position sponsored by Fapesp (Processo 2006/07163-9).

AMS Subject Classification: Primary: 47G30; secondary: 35S05, 46L65, 47L80.

Keywords: pseudodifferential operators, Hilbert C*-modules.

© Royal Society of Canada 2009.

which induces the norm $\|f\|_2 = \|\langle f, f \rangle\|^{1/2}$, and denote by E its Banach-space completion with this norm. The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ turns E into a Hilbert C^* -module [5]. The set of all (bounded) adjointable operators on E is denoted by $\mathcal{B}^*(E)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ denote the set of all smooth bounded functions from \mathbb{R}^{2n} to \mathcal{A} whose derivatives of arbitrary order are also bounded. For each b in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, a linear mapping from $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself is defined by the formula

$$(1.1) \quad (Bu)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} b(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where \hat{u} denotes the Fourier transform,

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int e^{-iy \cdot \xi} u(y) dy.$$

The operator $B := b(x, D)$ extends to an element of $\mathcal{B}^*(E)$ whose norm satisfies the following estimate. There exists $K > 0$ depending only on n such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|B\| \leq K \sup\{\|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b(x, \xi)\|; (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \leq (1, \dots, 1)\}.$$

This generalization of the Calderón–Vaillancourt Theorem [1] was proved by Merklen [8], [9]; see also [4], [10], [11].

The estimate (1.2) implies that the mapping

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{R}^{2n} \ni (z, \zeta) \longmapsto B_{z, \zeta} = T_{-z} M_{-\zeta} B M_\zeta T_z \in \mathcal{B}^*(E)$$

is smooth (*i.e.*, C^∞ with respect to the norm topology), where T_z and M_ζ are defined by $T_z u(x) = u(x - z)$ and $M_\zeta u(x) = e^{i\zeta \cdot x} u(x)$, $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. That follows just as in the scalar case [3, Chapter 8].

DEFINITION 1.1. We call *Heisenberg smooth* an operator $B \in \mathcal{B}^*(E)$ for which the mapping (1.3) is smooth, and denote by \mathcal{H} the set of all such operators.

The elements of \mathcal{H} are the smooth vectors for the canonical action of the Heisenberg group on $\mathcal{B}^*(E)$.

We therefore have a mapping

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} O_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} \\ b & \longmapsto & b(x, D). \end{array}$$

It is a standard result that in the scalar case ($\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$), $O_{\mathcal{A}}$ is injective. For general \mathcal{A} , injectiveness follows from the scalar case by a duality argument. Cordes [2] proved that $O_{\mathcal{A}}$ is surjective in the scalar case. We have shown [7] that this also happens if \mathcal{A} is unital and commutative.

In this paper we show that if $O_{\mathcal{A}}$ is surjective, then $O_{M_k(\mathcal{A})}$ is also surjective. We show that by first noticing that the Hilbert C^* -module E_k for the matrix case

is a Banach-space direct sum of k^2 copies of E . Then it follows that a bounded operator on E_k (regarded only as a Banach space) is smooth under the action of the Heisenberg group if and only if it is a matrix whose entries are operators on E that are also smooth under the Heisenberg group. When we impose that such a matrix be an adjointable $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ -module homomorphism, then we get precisely the pseudodifferential operators of the form (1.1).

Given a skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix J and $F \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let us denote by L_F the pseudodifferential operator $a(x, D) \in \mathcal{B}^*(E)$ with symbol $a(x, \xi) = F(x - J\xi)$. Let us further denote by R_F the pseudodifferential operator with symbol $b(x, \xi) = F(x + J\xi)$ defined similarly as in (1.1), except that $b(x, \xi)$ multiplies $\hat{u}(\xi)$ on the right. At the end of Chapter 4 in [10], Rieffel made a conjecture that may be rephrased as follows: any $B \in \mathcal{H}$ that commutes with every R_G , $G \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is of the form $B = L_F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Using Cordes' characterization of the Heisenberg-smooth operators in the scalar case, we have shown [6] that Rieffel's conjecture is true when $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$. The second author [8, Theorem 3.5] proved further that Rieffel's conjecture is true for any separable C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} for which the operator $O_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bijection.

The assumption of separability of \mathcal{A} is needed to justify several results about vector-valued integration [9, Apêndice].

2. Adjointable operators Let us denote by E_k the Hilbert C^* -module obtained using the procedure described in the first paragraph of this paper with \mathcal{A} replaced by $M_k(\mathcal{A})$, the C^* -algebra of k -by- k matrices with entries in \mathcal{A} .

Using that the norm $\|((a_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}\|_{\infty} := \max\{\|a_{i,j}\|; 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$ is equivalent to the C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|$ of $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ ($\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \leq \|\cdot\| \leq k^2 \|\cdot\|_{\infty}$), one easily proves that a given function $f = ((f_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{A})$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{M_k(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if each f_{ij} belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For each (l, m) , $1 \leq l, m \leq k$, the maps*

$$P_{lm}: \mathcal{S}^{M_k(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni ((f_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \longmapsto f_{lm} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$I_{lm}: \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f \longmapsto ((\delta_{il}\delta_{jm}f))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \in \mathcal{S}^{M_k(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

($\delta_{pq} = 1$ if $p = q$ and $\delta_{pq} = 0$ if $p \neq q$) extend continuously to

$$P_{lm}: E_k \longrightarrow E \quad \text{and} \quad I_{lm}: E \longrightarrow E_k.$$

Moreover, $\|P_{lm}\| = 1$ and I_{lm} is an isometry.

PROOF. For each $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and each (i, j) , we have:

$$\left(\int I_{lm}(f)(x)^* I_{lm}(f)(x) dx \right)_{ij} = \delta_{im}\delta_{jm} \int f(x)^* f(x) dx;$$

and then,

$$\|I_{lm}(f)\|_2^2 = \|((\delta_{im}\delta_{jm}\mathbf{1}))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}\| \cdot \|f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2.$$

This shows that I_{lm} is an isometry.

Given $f = ((f_{ij}))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} \in \mathcal{S}^{M_k(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have:

$$\|P_{ml}(f)\|_2^2 = \left\| \int f_{ml}(x)^* f_{ml}(x) dx \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \int f_{ji}(x)^* f_{ji}(x) dx \right\|$$

(we have used that $\|a\| \leq \|a + b\|$ if a and b are two positive elements of any C^* -algebra, and that the integral of a positive valued function is also positive). The right-hand side of the previous inequality equals

$$\left\| \left(\int f(x)^* f(x) dx \right)_u \right\| \leq \left\| \int f(x)^* f(x) dx \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \int f(x)^* f(x) dx \right\|.$$

This shows that $\|P_{ml}\| \leq 1$. The equality holds because, for any $g \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $P_{ml}(I_{ml}(g)) = g$ and $\|g\|_2 = \|I_{ml}(g)\|_2$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The map*

$$(2.1) \quad E_k \ni f \longmapsto ((P_{ij}(f)))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} \in \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \\ j \leq k}} E$$

is a Banach space isomorphism. The right action of $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ on E_k is then given by matrix multiplication, while the $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ -valued inner-product on E_k is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \langle ((f_{ij}))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}, ((g_{ij}))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} \rangle = \left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^k \langle f_{li}, g_{lj} \rangle \right) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}.$$

PROOF. Using that $P_{lm}I_{lm}$ equals the identity on E for every (l, m) , that $P_{lm}I_{pq} = 0$ if $l \neq p$ or $m \neq q$, and that $\sum_{lm} I_{lm}P_{lm}$ equals the identity on E_k , it follows that

$$\bigoplus_{i,j=1}^k E \ni ((f_{ij}))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} \longmapsto \sum_{l,m=1}^k I_{lm}(f_{lm}) \in E_k$$

is an inverse for the map defined in (2.1). The statements about the action of $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ and about the inner-product follow by density, since they hold on $\mathcal{S}^{M_k(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Let $\mathcal{L}(E_k)$ denote the algebra of bounded operators on the Banach space E_k . In order to describe which elements of $\mathcal{L}(E_k)$ belong to $\mathcal{B}^*(E_k)$ (i.e., which of

them are adjointable $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ -module homomorphisms), it is convenient to define an isomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^k E \simeq \bigoplus_{p=1}^{k^2} E,$$

using the bijection $\phi: \{1, \dots, k\} \times \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k^2\}$ defined by listing the pairs (l, m) column after column,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1, 1) = 1, \dots, \phi(k, 1) = k, \phi(1, 2) = k + 1, \dots, \phi(k, 2) = 2k, \\ \dots, \phi(1, k) = k^2 - (k - 1), \dots, \phi(k, k) = k^2. \end{aligned}$$

The composition of the two isomorphisms defined in (2.1) and (2.3) induces the isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad E_k \simeq \bigoplus_{p=1}^{k^2} E,$$

which, in turn, induces

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}(E_k) \ni T \mapsto ((P_p T I_q))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2} \in M_{k^2}(\mathcal{L}(E_k)).$$

Here, abusing notation, we have written P_p and I_q , where we really meant $P_{\phi^{-1}(p)}$ and $I_{\phi^{-1}(q)}$.

Since its proof is purely algebraic, the following theorem could be stated for general rings and modules.

THEOREM 2.3. *Using the isomorphism (2.5) as an identification, a given $T = ((T_{pq}))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2} \in \mathcal{L}(E_k)$ is a (right) $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ -module homomorphism if and only if*

$$(2.6) \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{T} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{T} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{T} \end{bmatrix},$$

where \tilde{T} is a k -by- k matrix of bounded (right) \mathcal{A} -module homomorphisms and 0 denotes the k -by- k zero block.

PROOF. Given $T = ((T_{pq}))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2} \in \mathcal{L}(E_k)$, each $T_{pq} = P_p T I_q$ is obviously bounded. If T is an $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ -module homomorphism, then T_{pq} is an \mathcal{A} -module homomorphism since, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in E$, we have

$$I_q(fa) = I_q(f) \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given an integer l , $1 \leq l \leq k^2$, consider the integers l_1 and l_2 defined by $0 \leq l_1 \leq k-1$, $1 \leq l_2 \leq k$, and $l = kl_1 + l_2$. The product of two matrices can then be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_{1+k} & \cdots & a_{1+(k-1)k} \\ a_2 & a_{2+k} & \cdots & a_{2+(k-1)k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_k & a_{k+k} & \cdots & a_{k+(k-1)k} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_{1+k} & \cdots & b_{1+(k-1)k} \\ b_2 & b_{2+k} & \cdots & b_{2+(k-1)k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_k & b_{k+k} & \cdots & b_{k+(k-1)k} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_{1+k} & \cdots & c_{1+(k-1)k} \\ c_2 & c_{2+k} & \cdots & c_{2+(k-1)k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_k & c_{k+k} & \cdots & c_{k+(k-1)k} \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$c_l = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{l_2+k(j-1)} b_{j+kl_1}.$$

This formula holds if the two matrices that we multiply belong to $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ or if the left one is an element of E_k regarded as a matrix by (2.1). With this notation, if a given $T = ((T_{pq}))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2} \in \mathcal{L}(E_k)$ is an $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ -module homomorphism, then for every $(a_l)_{1 \leq l \leq k^2} \in E_k$ (we now refer to the isomorphism (2.4)) and for every

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_{1+k} & \cdots & b_{1+(k-1)k} \\ b_2 & b_{2+k} & \cdots & b_{2+(k-1)k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_k & b_{k+k} & \cdots & b_{k+(k-1)k} \end{bmatrix} \in M_k(\mathcal{A}),$$

we have, for every $1 \leq p \leq k^2$,

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k T_{pl} a_{l_2+k(j-1)} b_{j+kl_1} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^{k^2} T_{p_2+k(j-1), l} a_l b_{kp_1+j}.$$

We now apply this equality, for each $a \in E$ and each (q, r) , $1 \leq q, r \leq k^2$ to $a_l = \delta_{ql} a$ and $b_l = \delta_{rl} \mathbf{1}$. The only nonvanishing term in the left-hand sum will satisfy $l_2 + k(j-1) = q$ (hence $j-1 = q_1$ and $l_2 = q_2$) and $kl_1 + j = r$ (hence $l_1 = r_1$ and $j = r_2$); hence $l = kr_1 + q_2$ and $j = q_1 + 1 = r_2$. The only nonvanishing term in the right-hand sum will satisfy $l = q$ and $kp_1 + j = r$ (hence $p_1 = r_1$ and $j = r_2$). Equation (2.7) then becomes

$$T_{p, kr_1+q_2} \delta_{q_1+1, r_2} = T_{p_2+k(r_2-1), q} \delta_{p_1, r_1}.$$

We now can see that if $q_1 + 1 \neq r_2$ and $p_1 = r_1$, then $T_{p_2+k(r_2-1), q} = 0$. This proves that for each (p, q) , $T_{pq} = 0$ unless $p = p_2 + kq_1$. In other words, if $p_1 \neq q_1$, then $T_{p, q} = 0$. Therefore, all blocks outside the diagonal in (2.6) indeed vanish.

Finally, letting $q_1 + 1 = r_2$ and $p_1 = r_1$, we get $T_{p,kp_1+q_2} = T_{p_2+kq_1,q}$ or $T_{kp_1+p_2,kp_1+q_2} = T_{kq_1+p_2,kq_1+q_2}$, proving that all blocks along the diagonal in (2.6) are indeed equal.

We have proved that any module homomorphism on E_k is of the form (2.6). To see that the converse is also true, we only need to remark that under the description of E_k given by Proposition 2.2, the action of a T as in (2.6) on E_k is given by left multiplication by \tilde{T} . \square

THEOREM 2.4. *A given $T = ((T_{pq}))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2} \in \mathcal{L}(E_k)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}^*(E_k)$ if and only if it is of the form (2.6) with $\tilde{T} \in M_k(\mathcal{B}^*(E))$.*

PROOF. Given T and S in $\mathcal{L}(E_k)$ of the form (2.6), with corresponding $\tilde{T} = ((\tilde{T}_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ and $\tilde{S} = ((\tilde{S}_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$, and given $f = ((f_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ and $g = ((g_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ in $E_k \simeq \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} E$, by (2.2) we have

$$(2.8) \quad \langle Tf, g \rangle_{ij} = \sum_{l, m=1}^k \langle \tilde{T}_{lm} f_{mi}, g_{lj} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{l, m=1}^k \langle f_{mi}, \tilde{S}_{lm} g_{lj} \rangle = \langle f, Sg \rangle_{ij}.$$

From this it follows that if each \tilde{T}_{ij} is adjointable and if $\tilde{S}_{ij} = T_{ji}^*$ for all (i, j) , then S is the adjoint of T .

Conversely, suppose that T is adjointable and that its adjoint is S . The equality of the two sums in (2.8) for particular choices of f and g will imply that each \tilde{T}_{ij} is adjointable. \square

3. Heisenberg-smooth adjointable operators The mapping (1.3) may be defined for any B in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ or in $\mathcal{L}(E_k)$. It thus makes sense to talk about Heisenberg-smooth operators in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ or in $\mathcal{L}(E_k)$. Given $B = ((B_{pq}))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2} \in \mathcal{L}(E_k)$, we have

$$B_{z, \zeta} = (((B_{pq})_{z, \zeta}))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2} = ((P_p B_{z, \zeta} I_q))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2}$$

(it is enough to check these equalities on the dense subset of Schwartz functions). We then get the following.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *A given $B = ((B_{pq}))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2} \in \mathcal{L}(E_k)$ is Heisenberg-smooth if and only if each $B_{pq} \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is Heisenberg-smooth.*

This leads to our main result.

THEOREM 3.2. *If the unital separable C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is such that the map $O_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined in (1.4) is a bijection, then the map $O_{M_k(\mathcal{A})}$ is also a bijection.*

PROOF. Given any Heisenberg-smooth operator $B \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_k)$, we must show that it is of the form $B = b(x, D)$ for some $b \in \mathcal{B}^{M_k(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Let $((B_{pq}))_{1 \leq p, q \leq k^2}$ be the matrix that corresponds to B by the isomorphism (2.5). By Proposition 3.1 and by the assumption that $O_{\mathcal{A}}$ is surjective, each B_{pq} is a pseudodifferential operator of the type defined in (1.1). By Theorem 2.3, B is of the form (2.6). That is, there exist $b_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ such that with

$$\tilde{T} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}(x, D) & b_{12}(x, D) & \cdots & b_{1k}(x, D) \\ b_{21}(x, D) & b_{22}(x, D) & \cdots & b_{2k}(x, D) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{k1}(x, D) & b_{k2}(x, D) & \cdots & b_{kk}(x, D) \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{T} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{T} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that B and $b(x, D)$ are equal, if $b \in \mathcal{B}^{M_k(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = M_k(\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$ is given by $b = ((b_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$. Indeed, the equality of the two operators can be easily verified on $\mathcal{S}^{M_k(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank Fernando Abadie and Héctor Merklen for some very helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

1. A. P. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt, *On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **23** (1971), 374–378.
2. H. O. Cordes, *On pseudodifferential operators and smoothness of special Lie-group representations*. Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), 51–69.
3. ———, *The Technique of Pseudodifferential Operators*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 202, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
4. I. L. Hwang, *The L^2 -boundedness of pseudodifferential operators*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **302** (1987), no. 1, 55–76.
5. E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -Modules. A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
6. S. T. Melo and M. I. Merklen, *On a conjectured noncommutative Beals-Cordes-type characterization*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 7, 1997–2000.
7. ———, *Pseudodifferential operators with C^* -algebra-valued-symbol: Abstract characterizations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 1, 219–227.
8. M. I. Merklen, *Boundedness of pseudodifferential operators of C^* -algebra-valued symbol*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **135** (2005), no. 6, 1279–1286.
9. ———, *Resultados motivados por uma caracterização de operadores pseudo-diferenciais conjecturada por Rieffel*. Tese de Doutorado, Universidade de São Paulo, 2002, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.OA/0309464>.

10. M. Rieffel, *Deformation quantization for actions of \mathbb{R}^d* . Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1993), no. 506.
11. J. Seiler, *Continuity of edge and corner pseudodifferential operators*. Math. Nachr. **205** (1999), 163–182.

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo
Rua do Matão 1010
05508-090 São Paulo, Brazil
email: toscano@ime.usp.br
marcela@ime.usp.br